

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/640,076

Applicant(s)

Campana Jr. et al.

Examiner

Nay A. Maung

Group Art Unit  
2681

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Donald E. Stout

(3) Nay A. Maung (PTO)

(2) Carl Brundidge

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 11, 2001

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 94-162

Identification of prior art discussed:  
see below.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


The applicant's representatives proposed a new set of claims 94-162 and will cancel pending claims 86-93. The applicant will file the new claims 86-93 in a continuation case. Discussed support for the claims 94-162. The examiner agreed with the applicant's representative the preambles of the claims are limiting. The applicant's representatives provided the examiner with the recently filed infringement suit naming all of the assignees issued patents covering wireless email against Research in Motion, Ltd. The applicant's representatives provided the Lazaridis et al. reference patent no. US 6,219,694 B1 and non prior art publications describing wireless E-mail, RadioMail gateway service.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
NAY A. MAUNG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2681